

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignini 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/376,811	08/18/1999	JOSEPH C. JENNIGES	494.004US1	6977	
21186	7590 05/20/2003				
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	38 LIS, MN 55402		GORT, EI	GORT, ELAINE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-/		
•		09/376,811	JENNIGES ET AL.			
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	 		
•	-	Elaine Gort	3627	W.		
The MAILING DATE of	of this communication ap		with the correspondence addr	ess		
Period for Reply			•			
 If NO period for reply is specified abo Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 	HIS COMMUNICATION under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reve, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statu than three months after the maili	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this common the common term (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status 1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	Anril 2002				
·	-	This action is non-final.				
<u>'</u>	<i>,</i> —		adtore proposition of to the	marita ia		
		r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ments is		
· <u> </u>	5 is/are pending in the a	application.				
, , , , , , ,		awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 6-25</u> is/a			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.					
8)☐ Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)⊡ acc	epted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.			
		• ,	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration	-	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11						
13) Acknowledgment is m		gn priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c						
<u></u>		nts have been received.	A modition at the Alba			
		nts have been received in				
application	from the International B	onty documents have been bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) at of the certified copies no		age		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).		
a) ☐ The translation of 15)☐ Acknowledgment is ma		rovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.				
Attachment(s)		, ,	_··			
Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/376,811

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 14-19 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho et al. (US Patent 6,120,300).

Ho et al. discloses the claimed method for providing incentive. Ho et al. discloses a method for storing predetermined goal data of a participant, the goal data including at least one minimum threshold level of performance, where the goal data further comprises an identification of one of the at least one minimum threshold level of performance as a desired level of performance (Ho system stores goal data established

Application/Control Number: 09/376,811

Art Unit: 3627

by instructor or other individual/sponsor which includes threshold levels and desired levels of performance e.g. milestones and rewards representing desired levels of performance; capable of quantifying by measuring anticipated performance—scoring system; student can establish desired level of performance relative to rewards); storing historical performance data of participant (e.g. performance data used in performance analysis); comparing historical performance data to the goal data and generating a result indicating progress toward goal (e.g. performance analysis and determination of reward; quantitative analysis; storage of reward data) and transmitting results (e.g. report given to instructor and participant); generates and stores a list of eligible participants (eligible participants are ones (students and instructors) capable of using system which give consent when begin using system); issuing of award (instructor or sponsor provides award); perquisite (student given perks as awards—reward medium or access to reward generator which generates reward); and modifying the predetermined goal data using performance data (system adapts for student for self education and system provides instructor feedback to adjust goals and threshold levels based on students performance).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/376,811

Art Unit: 3627

4. Claims 1-4 and 6-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho et al. in view of Noori.

Ho et al. discloses the claimed method (as best understood) but is silent relating to the use of the method for providing incentives for sales, safety compliance, efficiency, cost-savings, display installation, demonstrations, and time goals. Noori discloses that it is known in the art to provide a reward system for all of these factors in order to encourage employees to act in a manner consistent with the firm's goals and objectives and to attract and keep high-quality employees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Ho et al. with the incentives for sales, safety compliance, efficiency, cost-savings, display installation, demonstrations, and time goals of Noori, in order to encourage employees to act in a manner consistent with the firm's goals and objectives and to attract and keep high-quality employees.

Regarding the participant setting goals, it is old and well know in the art of personal motivation for individuals to establish their own goals and establish awards for themselves to motivate themselves to act in a desired manner. For example, it is well known that individuals reward themselves for hard work with nice meals, breaks, chats with friends, or other things they enjoy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above method to incorporate individuals participating in developing their own goals and awards in order to motivate themselves to act in a manner consistent with their ambitions.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/376,811

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner believes that potential areas of clarification leading to an allowance may exist and Examiner invites Applicant to an in-person interview to further discuss these areas.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

EG

May 6, 2003

Tichael luff 5/16/03

MICHAEL CUFF BRIMARY EXAMINER